



[www.mountaincountieswater.com](http://www.mountaincountieswater.com)

**Board of Directors and Officials**

Scott Ratterman (CCWD) – President  
Barbara Balen (TUD) – Vice President  
Jim Holmes (County of Placer) – Secretary  
Lori Anzini (EID) – Treasurer  
Mike Lee (PCWA) – Director  
Lori Parlin (County of El Dorado) – Director  
Sue Hoek (County of Nevada) – Director  
Don Blaser (YWA) – Director  
Susan Peters (AWA) – Director

Dave Breninger, retired (PCWA) – Governmental Affairs Official  
Tom Cumpston – Legal Counsel

**Justin Caporusso – Executive Director**

Transmitted via electronic transmission

**Executive Members**

Amador Water Agency  
Calaveras County Water District  
County of Alpine  
County of Amador  
County of Calaveras  
County of El Dorado  
County of Nevada  
County of Placer  
County of Tuolumne  
County of Yuba  
El Dorado County Water Agency  
El Dorado Irrigation District  
Foresthill Public Utility District  
Georgetown Divide  
Public Utility District  
Grizzly Flats  
Community Services District  
Jackson Valley Irrigation District  
Murphys Sanitary District  
Placer County Water Agency  
South Tahoe Public Utility District  
Tuolumne Utilities District  
Twain Harte  
Community Services District  
Utica Water and Power Authority  
Weimar Water Company  
Yuba Water Agency

**Affiliate Members**

City of Folsom  
Rancho Murieta  
Community Services District  
Santa Clara Valley Water District

June 26, 2023

Senator Dave Cortese, Chair  
Senate Labor, Public Employment and Retirement Committee  
1021 O Street, Room 6740  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Assembly Bill 338 (Aguiar-Curry) - OPPOSE**

Dear Chair Cortese:

On behalf of the Mountain Counties Water Resources Association (MCWRA), we write to respectfully oppose Assembly Bill 338 relating to public works. AB 338 seeks to expand the definition of “public works” to include fuel reduction work paid for in whole or in part out of public funds performed as part of a fire mitigation project, including, but not limited to, residential chipping, rural road fuel breaks, fire breaks, and vegetation management. We request to be part of the working group and to be involved in the discussions as you amend this legislation to address the concerns raised in Governor Newsom’s veto message of AB 1717 (2022). While we support the goal of bringing high-quality jobs to rural areas, we believe an alternative solution is needed.

MCWRA advocates for the water interests of its 58 members in 12 of the mountain counties within the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) of woodland and forested lands in the Sierra Nevada. These foothill and mountain areas contain the headwaters for 40% of the state’s developed water supply, which also provides for hydropower production, irrigation, recreation, water recycling, tourism, and instream flows that fuel the engine of our State’s economy. Megafires continue to threaten MCWRA communities, water resources, and the state’s overall water supply. Increasing the pace and scale of forest management projects on these lands is critical to protecting California’s forested watersheds.

AB 338 runs contrary to the goals and objectives outlined in California’s Wildfire and Forest Resilience Action Plan, which state “bolder action is required to address the key drivers of catastrophic fires, significantly increase the pace and scale of forest management, and improve the resilience of increasingly threatened communities.” Instead, AB 338 would likely slow and substantially increase the costs of fuel reduction projects – particularly in disadvantaged communities. Moreover, this legislation is extremely detrimental to restarting the forest products industry, a necessary step to properly addressing vegetation management and its byproducts. In addition, this

progression into the labor market would set a concerning precedent, promoting the same approach and results toward rising labor costs to be taken in other forest and related industry sectors. Also, enacting this type of legislation will have the unintended consequences of stifling innovation and competition in a developing industry that needs flexibility and fewer restrictions to promote advancement – further impacting California’s rural and disadvantaged communities. Further, fire mitigation projects are critical to creating defensible space and supporting efforts to keep rural communities safe from the ever-increasing fire risks.

In addition, MCWRA is concerned that treating this type of fire mitigation work as “public works” will subject this important work to a regulatory regime that may act as barrier to local and small businesses competing for, and performing, these projects. The various requirements associated with being a “public works” contractor, such as registration with the Department of Industrial Relations and maintaining and submitting certified payroll records, may effectively preclude the local mountain counties workforce from being considered qualified to perform fire mitigation projects moving forward. This will in turn further impact rural and disadvantaged communities and reduce the pool of businesses that are available to perform these projects.

Given the increasing threat of megafires, it is ill-timed and counter-productive to introduce additional barriers to implementation of fire mitigation projects in communities that desperately need public funds to complete these projects. It is for these reasons that the MCWRA opposes AB 338. Should you have any questions about our position, please feel free to contact Justin Caporusso, Executive Director at (916) 412-0571.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott Ratterman", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Scott Ratterman, President  
Mountain Counties Water Resources Association